



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/580,314

02/12/2007

Alfred Rieder

RIED3011/FJD

5663

23364 7590 01/06/2009

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC

625 SLATERS LANE

FOURTH FLOOR

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-1176

EXAMINER

PATEL, PUNAM

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2855

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/06/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/580,314	Applicant(s) RIEDER ET AL.	
	Examiner PUNAM PATEL	Art Unit 2855	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amendment submitted 10/08/08

(1) Punam Patel (USPTO).

(3) _____.

(2) Felix J. D'Ambrosio (Applicant's Representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 November 2008

Time: 3:40pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph

Claims discussed:

25 and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed cancelling claims 25 and 26 in order to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection. Examiner pointed out specific sections of the Disclosure which fail to enable the claims. No agreement was reached with respect to the claims. See Response to Arguments section in the Office Action provided herewith.